

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,912	06/27/2003	Steven J. Winick	H0003859 (16131)	7055
128 7	7590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
HONEYWEI	LL INTERNATIONA	NGUYEN, QUYNH H		
101 COLUMB	IA ROAD			D . DUD . UD (DED
P O BOX 2245	5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOW	N, NJ 07962-2245	2642		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	······································		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/608,912	WINICK ET AL.		
			Examiner	Art Unit		
			Quynh H Nguyen	2642		
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the may be available under the provisions of the may be available under the provisions of the maximum states of the provision	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(unication. b) days, a reply w tutory period will will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timing the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>27</u> Jun	<u>e 2003</u> .			
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	Papers					
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the e drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 plicant may not request that any object placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a) tion to the dr the correction	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Certified copies of the priority	documents I documents I of the priority nal Bureau (have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)						
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary			
3) Informati	F Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boling et al. (U.S. Patent 6,636,732).

As to claims 1 and 7, Boling et al. teach the steps of: scanning available cellular control channels (col. 10, lines 5-29) and measuring the signal strength of each cellular control channel (col. 10, lines 30-33); selecting a cellular control channel with the strongest signal strength (col. 10, lines 46-52) which is verified as being available for the assigned carrier to report a status of the fire alarm system (col. 1, lines 55-58); selecting a cellular control channel with a second strongest signal strength (it is inherent that if the A system channels have the strongest signal strength then the B system channels have the second strongest signal strength then the B system channels have the second strongest signal strength); transmitting a cellular radio message on the status of the fire alarm system over either of the cellular control channel with the strongest signal strength or the cellular control channel with the second strongest signal strength (Fig. 10B, 126 and col. 3, lines 25-27).

ं

As to claims 2 and 8, Boling et al. teach developing a channel list in which the cellular control channels are sorted and classified according to signal strength (col. 53-62).

As to claims 3, 5, 9, and 11, Boling et al. teach checking for availability of two or more channels with acceptable RSSI (greater than minimum threshold), and if two or more channels with acceptable RSSI are not available (col. 10, lines 36-41), then report a loss ("the low signal indicator light is illuminated"), and if two or more channels with acceptable RSSI are available, operate on the strongest channel (col. 10, lines 49-52).

As to claim 4, Boling et al. teach repeating and selecting a cellular control channel with the strongest signal strength until the last available channel is found (col. 10, lines 35-45).

Claims 6 and 12 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to the third limitation of claim 1 and claim 3.

Claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to the third limitation of claim 1.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Karimullah (U.S. Patent 5,343,493) teaches personal assistance system and method for use with a cellular communication system.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,912

Art Unit: 2642

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen March 17, 2005

AHMAD MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Mhmed Mck

Page 4